



Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3257
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of partial replacement of barley grain with heated potato processing by-products in the diet of dairy cows using an in vitro gas production technique
Type of the Article	Original Research Article



1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	The manuscript is important for scientific community. It shows a good waste to energy approach.	1. Thank you dear Reviewer.
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, Suitable	2. Thank you dear Reviewer.
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Need to be more clear in abstract about the results and precise the results.	3. Abstract was revised and tried to present the results more clearly
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	4. Thank you. That is kind of you.
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Yes	5. Thank you very much.
6. Are the references sufficient	Yes but 5-8 references can be added from recent research.	6. Dear Reviewer, six recent references was added to the manuscript (Introduction)



<p>and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>		
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Introduction is short, few paragraphs should be added with latest references.2. Language of the documents have some grammar errors, it need to polished.3. Conclusion needs improvement, few lines about future directions should be added.4. manuscript should be checked for spacing errors	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The introduction was extended and recent references was added to the manuscript2. The grammar errors was corrected3. The conclusion was improved with few lines about future studies and directions4. It was done
<p><u>Optional</u> comments</p>		



PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></i>	